## School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2019/20 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Na	me of School:	SKH Tang Shiu Kin Secondary School					
Sta	ff-in-charge: <u>Ms. Emily</u>	y Chow, Mr. Yeung Ho Ching	<b>Contact Telephone No.:</b>	25742326			
A.	The number of student	s (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is <u>17</u>	(including A. <u>4</u> CSSA recipients, B. <u>12</u> SFAS full-grant				
	recipients and C. <u>1</u> u	nder school's discretionary quota).					
р	T. C						

* Name / Type of activity	par e	ctual f of ticipat eligible tudent B	ing e	Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$) Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire etc)		Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)		
Learning skill training (musical instrument)	4	12	1	90%	7/2019 – 9/2020	\$1300 x 17 = 22,100	<ul> <li>Students' instrumental class attendance record</li> <li>Instrumental tutors' feedback</li> <li>Music teacher's feedback about internal music examinations</li> </ul>	Schroeder's Music Union	<ul> <li>Some students could perform quite well and they were recommended to participate in the schools music festival or the public music examination in the coming year.</li> <li>Some students were picked up to join the school orchestra. This can further help them develop a healthy personality.</li> </ul>		
Total no. of activities: <u>1</u>											
<pre>@No. of man-times **Total no. of man-times</pre>	4	12 17	1		Total Expenses:	22,100					

**B.** Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

Note:

\* Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

@ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

\*\* Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

# Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

## **C.Project Effectiveness**

## In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

	Imj	proved		No		Not
Please put a " $\checkmark$ " against the most appropriate box.	Significant	nificant Moderate		Change	Declining	Applicable
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students' motivation for learning		$\checkmark$				
b) Students' study skills						$\checkmark$
c) Students' academic achievement						$\checkmark$
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom	$\checkmark$					
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness	5	$\checkmark$				
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students' self-esteem		$\checkmark$				
g) Students' self-management skills		$\checkmark$				
h) Students' social skills		$\checkmark$				
i) Students' interpersonal skills		$\checkmark$				
j) Students' cooperativeness with others		$\checkmark$				
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling		$\checkmark$				
l) Students' outlook on life		$\checkmark$				
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social development		$\checkmark$				
Community Involvement						
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities	$\checkmark$					
o) Students' sense of belonging		$\checkmark$				
p) Students' understanding on the community						$\checkmark$
<ul> <li>q) Your overall view on students' community involvements</li> </ul>						$\checkmark$

## **D.** Comments on the project conducted

*Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box)* 

	unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant)		
	difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;		
	eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify:	_);	
	the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;		
	tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;		
$\checkmark$	the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;		
	complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;		
	the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;		
	Others (Please specify):		

E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)